1880.
(L.R.)

File No. 8.
Serial No. 1-4.

Sub:- Complaint of Thavi'a of
his removal from the
office of Shanbhogue of
Ravesh village, Paragoda
Taluk.

Previous references.
Ahs 2 87/175

1880-81.
190
(~~1st Later~~)
Land Revenue.

File No. 87

Serial Nos. 1 4

Later references.
Ahs 135B
1903/04

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 – 4

Part B. for

SUBJECT.

Complaint of thieving cattle of Mr Rawsons
from the office of Chandra of
Kawa village Pirangaon Taluk.

(General Serial No. 32.)

1880.

No. 2303.

4

Name of Petitioner. Kannaval Late Chavitta of Kapiad

Village. Kavata

Taluk. Tellicherry

District. Malabar

Date of Arri. 8. Inwards 80.

Date of Receipt. 18. 11. 80.

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Two

ABSTRACT.

Petitioner a Tenant on which complains of the Peon, Kannaval from the office of Munsiff of Tellicherry for the time being.

One Munsiff Tellicherry

Petition returned by Mr. Keay.

COURT FEE
MYSORE
ONE RUPEE

No 2303
2-3-81

One Rupee stamp affixed

Enclosure

I the undersigned Shanmuga
ex Kanungoe of Kapatti Taluq and Kolar
Subdividing district most respectfully beg
to submit the following few years brief narra
-tive, fully trusting that after a careful
perusal of the proceedings in my case you
will render justice.

I was appointed Kanungoe of
the above village in August 1978 by the
the Deputy Commissioner of Subdivision
Copy of which is herewith enclosed, in order
-dance of the Government Circular in force
then during the duties of the office

Until October 1979 I was discharging
the duties of the Kanungoe duly & efficiently
when

due to my great misfortune, I was thrown out of office for the following alleged reasons.

1st Mar. I was unfit for the post.

2nd Mar. I was absent at the time of the settlement of the permanent pension of the village.

With reference to the first of the above charges against me I beg respectfully to state that as a reference to the accounts rendered by me during my incumbency it will be seen that I have rendered punctual to my appointment.

With regard to the 2nd charge I humbly beg to submit that I was present on the spot when the Relief Commissioners and others had come down to the Burgesses Hall for the settlement of enumeration. The Chairman never objected, nor for reasons best known to him and he ordered me to be send out of the place at the time. After this I submitted an Appeal by express to the Relief Commissioners to say that I was present. Nothing was done.

I was actually removed from Office

Police in which I was a Commissioner were reinstated to the Police. The latter is not a Buddhist and was cruelly dismissed from the Police Service when employed in the Police.

Since then I have been petitioning the Select Committees regarding my removal from the Police. In my last petition submitted on June 5th December the accompanying endorsement dated 5th August 1881, which specifies no particular charge of disqualification against me.

Under these circumstances I beg humbly that the Proceedings in the case may be sent for, reviewed and justice done to me.

I beg to remain
Honored Sir
Your Excellency's humble servant
Ignatius Thomasz,
Residing in Buddhist
Street, Rangoon.

Rangoon
November, 80

4

M 602

N. 5077
P.S-97

Mysore Chief Commissioner's Office.

Revenue

Official Memorandum.

5

The accompanying Petition No. [illegible], dated [illegible] received at the Chief Commissioner's Office from [illegible] is forwarded, together with its [illegible] enclosures to the [illegible]

BANGALORE,
1st Dec 1882.

"By Order,"

[illegible]
Secretary.

No 569 Rb: 5

Rn

Upstairs office
Giridih dewany Kut
Dt 8th January 1881.

To the Secretary to the
Chief Commissioner
Revenue Department

Sir,

I am returning the petition with enclosures of Ramanath late Chaudhry of Rajdih in the Ramgarh District, received with your official letter No 5, 11597 of the 4th October for report on the matter of his right to the Chaudhrai which the deceased held from office, and the right of the person appointed in his stead. I have the honor to state, that Ramanath became Chaudhry in 1874, by virtue of the Chief Commissioners Proceedings No 5, 130 of date 15th April 1874, to the Commissioner Nagpur Dn and held the office for a period of 3 years.

2. The Survey accounts for the period he held Chaudhrai, recently forwarded for perusal and return are for the current kist only, the Chaudhry being

1. 1875-76 - Sec. 8
2 1876-77 - Sec. 8
3 1877-78 - Sec. 8

of the Decision of the Office dated
[illegible] for many years
the duties of the office. Had applied
for the Chancellorship. At this time
the Settlement of the Privy Seal
fees, and the case of Lieut. Commis
pleaded in the Petition. Reasons is
transcribed in the Memorandum in a

" Hannah has not
" made the appointment
" to court in our office
" petition yesterday. The
" Minister replied that
" the case that Hannah
" should direct Minister
" have and that he could
" not for another week be
" prevailed upon to
" change the decision
" given a Deputation to the
" Clerk that he is not
" pressed with the former
" to every account. He is
" depended to the negligence. He
" is therefore removed. He and
" Hannah entitled a Petition
" to present against them
" for the Seal for the office
" but the matter was decided
" in Chancery first. So —
" Hannah has written
" the account and seems to be
" a Gentleman, his Application
" recommendation is allowed."

accordance with which Hannah
now finally re-
moved, and Mr.
Martha Ray
appointed.

3. In favor Lord
Lonsdale petition
titled to one and
I have no reason
to dissent the
order of course".
Recommended. The
petition with the
Minister referred
to the Board of
Trade & Plantations
that, they either with
the enclosed are requested for his
information and return —

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Secretary Commissioners

General, (Serial No. 29.)

188.

From

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
Rev: General Department.

Dated 3rd January 87.

Despatched

Received 6/1/87

Enclosures No. 5.

General. No. 188 —8 . 569.
No.

Returns the petition of — Shamsuddin a Shamshuddin, — to be forwarded to the States Civil Court for disposal

H. J. S.
3/1

Submitted for orders.
The Superintendent will please forward the papers noted in the margin.
31st Jan 1888
128

(General Serial No. 31.)

1881.

From

To The Deputy Commissioner
Chittagong Division

Dated 14 February

Despatched 15 ——

Received

Enclosures No. { 3 copies of letter from Sub-Deputy Collector, Rangamati.

General No. 6616

Rev No. 1107

Passing orders on the Petition of Dhanmanik, complaining of his removal from the Chandraghona Ranati in the Rangamati Subdivision.

Filed

Read:- 4 No 4 9

Letter from the Secy. to Govt. of the Bombay Presidency, No. 359 of the 6th January 1881, reporting upon a petition from one Shanmanakal, complaining of his removal from the Shanbagaresship of Kavadi in the Ramgada Taluk.

Order thereon:-

Both the Petitioner Shanmanah and his opponent Ramanakappa are the descendants in the female line of the Shanbagar's family, and of them the Petitioner, as the descendant of the elder branch, has a preferable claim to the office and was accordingly appointed to it, but as he was found totally disqualified for the work and has absented himself from the village, Ramanakappa was removed? appointed

C20/616
P. 1884

4/14-2-81

12/f

12/f

12/f

in his stead. Hanumantaiya appears to have been previously dismissed from a Police establishment and punished for misconduct in that Deptt. Under the circumstances, if there is a qualified heir to Channaiah, his appointment to the office would be preferable. Failing this, Hanumantaiya must remain in office without prejudice however to the future claim of the heir of Channaiah to succeed to the office on the occurrence of a vacancy.

CR 11/2

Recd today.

To. The Dy. Commr
Chitaldroog Dt.,
with Com: proc: &
accounts.

No. 2253.

6

Pueblo Civil Case 11
The Pueblo of [illegible]
Endorsement 4 Oct 4

Agency of the Pueblo
dated — December, 1[illegible]
Kawaiu to
Martin & Karta
in the Navajo Land
is informed that the
Land in Grant
Kawaiu Kaufer and
the descendants in the
female line of the
Martin-Po family, and
from the [illegible]
the descendant of the
Elder Branch, had a
superior claim to
the office and is accord
-ingly appointed, & it
is to be so found
that he qualified
for the work and has
absented himself from
the Pueblo since he was
and Kawaiu Kaufer
appointed in his stead

Lieutenant Taylor officers
to have been [illegible]
dismissed from a Police
Constableship and
furnished [illegible] incumbent
in that Dept. Under
the circumstances, if
there is a qualified Elector
to [illegible] the petitioner
his appointment to the
office could be suspended
pending the Lieutenant Taylor
must remain in office
without [illegible] to
to the future claims of
the heirs of the petitioner
to succeed to the office
on the occurrence of a
vacancy

Enclosures are returned

[illegible]